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JOINT PRESENTATION

- APCD
  - Introduction
  - Overview of process & progress
- OHMVR
  - Milestones accomplished since adoption of Rule
  - PM mitigation projects
  - Control site monitoring
  - Future efforts
- ARB
  - ARB participation in process
  - Technical Team function and work to date
- APCD
  - Summary and next steps
RULE IMPLEMENTATION

- Mar 2007: Phase 1 PM Study report
- Mar 2010: Phase 2 PM Study report
- Nov 2011: Rule 1001 adopted
- May 2012: Monitoring Site Selection Plan
- April–Sept 2013: OHMVR air quality/emissivity studies
- April 2014: First mitigation installed
- April 2015: Second year mitigation
- May-June 2015: Installation of control site monitor
CONSENT DECREE AGREEMENT

- Consent Decree Agreement approved March 2013
  - Rule implementation & dispute resolution process
  - Ended litigation between OHMVR and APCD
- Provides a structure for ongoing discussions
  - Scientific and technical evaluation team
    - Recommendations on mitigation strategies & methods
  - Policy team discussion and decision-making between agencies on how best to implement recommendations
- Special Master for dispute resolution
  - Dr. Nickling – expert in field from Ontario, Canada
POLICY & TECHNICAL TEAMS

- APCD, OHMVR & ARB Policy Team
  - Executive, management & legal staff from each agency
  - Monthly meetings since Fall 2013
    - Consent decree development & implementation
    - Coordination w/EPA, Coastal Commission
    - Special Master Selection
    - Currently working on mitigation & monitoring milestones
- APCD, OHMVR & ARB Technical Team
  - Scientists & technical staff from each agency + consultants
  - Meets regularly on data analysis, mitigation & monitoring efforts
    - 2015 mitigation plan & control site monitoring
    - Joint analysis of 2014 and 2015 mitigation data
    - 5-Year PM reduction plan and EIR
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SUMMARY

• Consent Decree process working
  • Collaborative and science-based
  • Agility and flexibility for moving forward
• Framework for Rule implementation
  • Deliberative and iterative process for PM mitigation
  • Wide range of experts working on problem
• Milestone achievement
  • Slow start - gaining momentum with joint process
• ARB, APCD and OHMVR have common goals in reducing PM from the ODSVRA
• Paradigm adjustment for all stakeholders
NEXT STEPS

- Revisit milestone timelines
- Evaluation of data from 2014 and 2015 mitigation
- Develop criteria for measuring progress
  - Determining control measure effectiveness
  - Evaluate concentration difference between Oso & CDF
  - Use evaluations for annual & 5-Year Plan control measures
- Completion of 5 year PM Reduction Plan & EIR
- Completion of Coastal Commission permit process
- Develop mitigation strategy for 2016 based on 2015 data and 5-Year Plan